Qāf

I. QĀF IN ARABIC AND SEMITIC

Qāf is the name of the 21st letter of the Arabic alphabet. In surveys of Modern Standard Arabic, /q/ is regularly described as a voiceless velar or uvular plosive/stop (cf., e.g., Kästner 1981:45-46). While this description reflects the pronunciation in Modern Standard Arabic and in some dialects, it is very likely that the uvular stop regularly transcribed as q in Arabic linguistics was a nonemphatic (nonvelarized) voiced counterpart to k (IPA [G]) (cf., e.g., Versteegh 2001:21). As one of the hurūf 'aqṣā l-lisān 'the sounds at the remotest part of the tongue', Ibn Jinn \bar{i} groups q together with kand j in the context of discussing co-occurrence restrictions within the root (cf. Fleisch 1958a; Bakalla 1982:189). In a global survey of phoneme systems in modern times, Maddieson (1984:214) lists a long voiced velar plosive /g:/ as being specific for Arabic (dialects), Somali, Punjabi, and Shilha. Taking a wider Semiticist's perspective, the variety of pronunciations of /q/ has also been attested elsewhere, both diachronically and synchronically. In the Akkadian writing system, /q/ and /g/ were not systematically distinguished (cf. von Soden 1995:34; Lipiński 2001:144-145), and there was only one sign for the CV-sequences ag, ak, and aq. Rather than reflecting inherent 'weaknesses' of the Sumero-Akkadian syllabary, this circumstance may well testify to an early variety of pronunciation as is also present in the modern Arabic dialects. Whereas the velar quality of Arabic /q/, as pronounced in Modern Standard Arabic, corresponds to glottalization in modern Ethio-Semitic ($[k^2]$), it is also the case that Arabic and Gəʻəz *qatala* evolved to *gäddalä* in modern Ethio-Semitic (unless the latter verb is associated with the root *g-d-l*, as in Hebrew; cf. Leslau 1987:452).

/q/has straightforward correspondences across Semitic, with the aforementioned postglottalized variant [k²] in modern Ethio-Semitic. Old Aramaic /'/ also has a variant /q/ in some lexical items, e.g. 'ar'ā and 'arqā 'earth'. Putting this observation into context, Lipiński (2001:147) notes that a spectographic analysis shows that [q] is situated somewhere on a scale between [d] and [S]. The letter <q> is also used to render <k> in (mainly Greek) loanwords in Hebrew and Aramaic so as to avoid postvocalic spirantization, as well as in loanwords that made it into Arabic via Syriac (e.g. qānūn < kanon). But <q> was also used in Arabic to render a number of words written with <g> in the source language, e.g. qibṭī 'Copt(ic)' < aigúptios.

2. QAF IN CLASSICAL ARABIC

The fact that /q/ was an unaspirated stop with both voiced and voiceless variants at an early stage of the history of Arabic can be deduced from general linguistic considerations and from information provided by the Arab grammarians (on the importance of Sībawayhi's *Kitāb* for historical Arabic dialectology in general, see Levin 1999). Blanc (1969), taking up proposals made by Jean Cantineau and André Martinet, argues in terms of a linguistic pushchain mechanism. An early Semitic /g/ as part of a homorganic velar triad of phonemes /g-

 $Q\bar{A}F$

k-q/, was fronted to [g'], [j], etc., as Semitic /q/ had developed mainly in a Bedouin milieu toward [G], thus creating homophones, e.g. faqr 'poverty' vs. fagr 'dawn', which were to be avoided. Thus, a dialectal split between a qāf gayr ma'qūda 'non-tied q', corresponding to [q], and a qāf ma'qūda 'tied q', corresponding to [G] and sometimes even [k], emerged (Blanc 1969:22). Blanc (1969:29–30) sketches the following three-stage scenario, reflecting the assumed push-chain process within the velar phoneme inventory:

i. Proto-Arabic and Common Semitic

ii. Earliest Arabic

$$\dot{g} \quad q \quad g \quad - \\
x \quad - \quad k \quad \check{s}$$

iii. Oldest Arabic ('arabiyya)

From the perspective of native Arab(ic) grammar, it is noteworthy that Sībawayhi (Kitāb §565) classifies /q/ among the → majhūra consonants, which are opposed to the mahmūsa consonants (cf., e.g., Al-Nassir 1993:36-41; Carter 2004:126). Except for the consonants /q/ and /t/ in their modern pronunciation, Sībawayhi's opposition between majhūra vs. mahmūsa corresponds exactly to the modern opposition [voiced] vs. [devoiced] (cf., e.g., Fleisch 1958b; Odisho 1988), pace Lipiński (2001:144), who conceptualizes majhūra as 'fortis' vs. 'lenis'. Following Garbell (1958), Blanc (1967:306-307) suggested the terms 'nonbreathed' for $majh\bar{u}r(a)$, and 'breathed' for $mahm\bar{u}s(a)$, in an attempt to reconcile the terminology in Arabic grammatical sources with modern concepts.

Regarding the phonetic quality of /q/, Bravmann (1934:45) quotes from the $T\bar{a}j$ al-'ar $\bar{u}s$ (s.v. $q\bar{a}f$): wa-hiya 'amtanu l-hur $\bar{u}fi$ wa-'aṣahhu-h \bar{a} jarsan 'it [sc. q] is the most solid of the sounds and the most real in terms of tone', a definition which in all its vagueness is compatible with both the characterization by the Arab grammarians and the evidence in modern times, pointing to a higher sonority of [q] in com-

parison with [k]. Beyond the evidence adduced above, there is also circumstantial evidence in written Arabic that compels us to view the voiced pronunciation /q/ as at least one statistically significant variant in the early stages of Arabic. Brockelmann (1908:121), referring to az-Zamaxšarī's Mufassal (§ 695b), adduces the Old Arabic variant zaqar of saqar 'fire in hell', which can be best explained by suprasegmental assimilation with respect to voicing (s < z, in this case presupposing a voiced [q]).

While /q/ is clearly not an 'emphatic' consonant (pronounced with \rightarrow 'itbāq, i.e. [+A(d-vanced)T(ongue)R(oot)]), as evidenced by Form VIII verbs like iqtaraba 'to draw near', in which the t-infix is not partially assimilated with respect to emphasis (cf. Versteegh 2001:21), it does belong, together with /x/, /g/, and the four emphatics to the hurūf musta'liya 'ascending sounds'. These consonants have the effect of emphaticizing preceding nonemphatic consonants, as in sabaqtu > sabaqtu 'I preceded', due to their postvelar articulation (cf. Blanc 1969:19).

3. QAF IN THE ARABIC DIALECTS

The array of different pronunciations of /q/, i.e. unvoiced, voiced, palatalized, or even plain [k], dates well back in history (cf. Rabin 1951:55-56, 125-126). Fischer and Jastrow (1980:52), as well as Kaye and Rosenhouse (1997:270), provide an overview of this broad scope of pronunciation. While the 'school pronunciation' of /q/ is indeed attested in some Syrian, Mesopotamian, and North African dialects, /q/ surfaces as [k] in those dialects (e.g. central Palestinian ones) which have palatalized original /k/. In many Bedouin dialects as well as in most of the Arabian Peninsula, /q/ surfaces as [G], [d3], or even as [dz]. Fricative [y] is attested in some Mesopotamian dialects (cf. Fischer and Jastrow 1980:143), where [q] and [y] are 'switched' in pronunciation (cf. also Al-Nassir 1993:40). Interestingly, some dialects in Yemen and the central Najd have palatalized [dʒ] for /q/ and [t \int] for /k/ (\rightarrow kaškaša), a parallelism that reflects again the assumed old voiced quality of /q/. A voiceless glottal stop [?] is the most common reflex of /q/ in the cities around the Mediterranean as well as in most of Syria and Lebanon. This sound shift may be QĂF 3

explained by the glottal co-occlusion that sometimes accompanies the pronunciation of voiceless [q] (cf. Blanc 1969:26). Some place-names, as well as cultural and religious terms, do not undergo this sound change, notably al-qāhira 'Cairo' and al-qur'an 'the Qur'an' ('Jerusalem', however, winds up phonetically as [al?uds]). Sociolinguistic factors can affect the pronunciation of /q/ as well. Blanc (1969:22) mentions a passage in Ibn Xaldūn's Muqaddima where the sociolinguistic implications of the q/g split are discussed. Versteegh (2001:137-138) draws attention to the fact that the Muslim gilit dialect of the Baghdad area has had a higher prestige than *qəltu* dialects of the province, even though the pronunciation [q] is more closely associated with Classical Arabic. The phonetic surface [G] being one of the shibboleths of Bedouin pronunciation, this observation underlines once more the role of the Bedouin as arbiters in linguistic matters.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

PRIMARY SOURCES

Ibn Jinnī, *Sirr* = 'Abū l-Fatḥ 'Utmān Ibn Jinnī, *Sirr ṣinā'at al-'i'rāb*. Ed. Ḥasan Ḥindāwī. 2 vols. Damascus: Dār al-Qalam, 1985.

Ibn Xaldūn, Muqaddima = Walī d-Dīn 'Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Muḥammad Ibn Xaldūn, al-Muqaddima. Ed. Darwīš al-Juwaydī. Beirut: al-Maktaba al-'Aṣriyya, 1996.

Sībawayhi, Kitāb = 'Abū Bišr 'Amr ibn 'Umān ibn Qanbar Sībawayhi, al-Kitāb. Ed. 'Abd as-Salām Muḥammad Hārūn. 5 vols. Cairo: al-Hay'a al-Miṣriyya al-'Āmma li-l-Kitāb, 1966–1977.

Zamaxšarī, Mufassal = 'Abū l-Qāsim Maḥmūd ibn 'Umar az-Zamaxšarī, al-Mufassal fī n-naḥw. Ed. Jens Peter Broch. Christiania: Libraria P.T. Mallingii, 1891.

SECONDARY SOURCES

Al-Nassir, Abdulmunim Abdalamir. 1993. Sibawaih the phonologist: A critical study of the phonetic and phonological theory of Sibawaih in his treatise Al-Kitab. London and New York: Kegan Paul International.

Bakalla, Muhammad H. 1982. Ibn Jinnī, an early Muslim phonetician: An interpretative study of his life and contributions to linguistics. London and Taipei: European Language Publications.

Blanc, Haim. 1967. "The 'sonorous' vs. 'muffled' opposition in Old Arabic". To honor Roman Jakobson I, 295–308. The Hague: Mouton.

—. 1969. "The fronting of Semitic g and the qālgāl dialect split in Arabic". Proceedings of the International Conference on Semitic Studies held in Jerusalem, 19-23 July 1965, 7-37. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. Bravmann, Max (Meir). 1934. Materialien und Untersuchungen zu den phonetischen Lehren der Araber. Göttingen: Dieterich'sche Universitäts-Buchdruckerei.

Brockelmann, Carl. 1908. Grundriß der vergleichenden Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen. I. Laut- und Formenlehre. Berlin: Reuther und Reichard. (Repr., Hildesheim: G. Olms, 1999.)

Carter, Michael G. 2004. Sībawayhi. Oxford: I.B. Tauris.

Fischer, Wolfdietrich and Otto Jastrow (eds.). 1980. Handbuch der arabischen Dialekte. Wiesbaden: O. Harrassowitz.

Fleisch, Henri. 1958a. "La conception phonétique des Arabes d'après le Sirr sinā'at al-i'rāb d'Ibn Ginni". Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 108.74–105.

—... 1958b. "Maghūra, mahmūsa: Examen critique". Mélanges de l'Université Saint-Joseph 35.193-210.

Garbell, Irene. 1958. "Remarks on the historical phonology of an East Mediterranean Arabic dialect". Word 14.303-337.

lect". Word 14.303-337. Kästner, Hartmut. 1981. Phonetik und Phonologie des modernen Hocharabisch. Leipzig: Akademie-Verlag.

Kaye, Alan and Judith Rosenhouse. 1997. "Arabic dialects and Maltese". *The Semitic languages*, ed. Robert Hetzron, 263–311. London: Routledge.

Leslau, Wolf. 1987. Comparative dictionary of Ge^eez. Wiesbaden: O. Harrassowitz.

Levin, Aryeh. 1999. "The first book of Arabic dialectology: Sībawayhi's al-Kitāb". Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 23.208–220.

Lipiński, Edward. 2001. Semitic languages: Outline of a comparative grammar. 2nd ed. Leuven: Peeters.

Maddieson, Ian. 1984. Patterns of sounds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mahadin, R.S. 1997. "Perspectives on the traditional Arab grammarian's description of the /q/ sound". Zeitschrift für Arabische Linguistik 34.32-52.

Odisho, Edward. 1988. "Sībawayhi's dichotomy of majhūralmahmūsa revisited". al-'Arabiyya 21.81-91.

Rabin, Chaim. 1951. Ancient West-Arabian. London: Taylor's Foreign Press.

Soden, Wolfram von. 1995. Grundriß der akkadischen Grammatik. 3rd ed. Rome: Pontificio Istituto Biblico.

Versteegh, Kees. 2001. *The Arabic language*. 2nd ed. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Wright, William. 1967. A grammar of the Arabic language. Trans. from German. 3rd ed., rev. by W. Robertson Smith and M.J. de Goeje. 2 vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

LUTZ EDZARD (University of Oslo)

Qawl → Kalām

Qəltu Arabic → Iraq

GENERAL EDITOR Kees Versteegh (University of Nijmegen)

Associate Editors
Mushira Eid
(University of Utah)
Alaa Elgibali
(University of Maryland)
Manfred Woidich
(University of Amsterdam)
Andrzej Zaborski
(University of Cracow)

Advisory Board

Ramzi Baalbaki (American University of Beirut)
Elsaid Badawi (American University of Cairo)
Dominique Caubet (INALCO, France)
Clive Holes (University of Oxford)
Manfred Kropp (Orient-Institut Der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft)
Jérôme Lentin (Institut National des Langues et Civilisations Orientales)
John McCarthy (University of Massachusetts)
Jamal Ouhalla (University College Dublin)
Jan Retsö (Göteborg University)
Sabah Safi (King Abdulaziz University)

Copy Editor Carolyn Russ



ENCYCLOPEDIA OF ARABIC LANGUAGE AND LINGUISTICS

VOLUME IV Q-Z

General Editor Kees Versteegh

Associate Editors Mushira Eid Alaa Elgibali Manfred Woidich Andrzej Zaborski



BRILL Leiden – Boston 2009